

SUMMARY ANALYSIS OF AMENDED BILL

Author: Jones Analyst: Deborah Barrett Bill Number: AB 1168
 Related Bills: See Prior Analysis Telephone: 845-4301 Amended Date: August 1, 2007
 Attorney: Patrick Kusiak Sponsor: _____

SUBJECT: Security of Social Security Numbers/FTB Truncate Social Security Numbers On Lien Abstracts And Any Other Records Created By The Board That Are Disclosable

DEPARTMENT AMENDMENTS ACCEPTED. Amendments reflect suggestions of previous analysis of bill as introduced/amended _____.

AMENDMENTS IMPACT REVENUE. A new revenue estimate is provided.

X AMENDMENTS DID NOT RESOLVE THE DEPARTMENT'S CONCERNS stated in the previous analysis of bill as amended July 17, 2007.

FURTHER AMENDMENTS NECESSARY.

DEPARTMENT POSITION CHANGED TO _____.

X REMAINDER OF PREVIOUS ANALYSIS OF BILL AS AMENDED July 17, 2007, STILL APPLIES.

OTHER – See comments below.

SUMMARY

This bill would require the Franchise Tax Board (FTB) to truncate social security numbers (SSNs) on liens or other documents made public.

The bill would also establish a task force to review the use of SSNs by the post secondary institutions in the state that do not impact FTB and are not discussed in this analysis.

SUMMARY OF AMENDMENTS

The August 1, 2007, amendments would do the following:

- Clarify that for the two classifications of records this bill would create, "official" and "public," until all SSNs are truncated from the official record thus making it a public record, requests for access to official records would be permitted.
- Eliminate a report by the Office of Privacy Protection to the Legislature previously required under this bill.
- Prohibit charging additional fees authorized by this bill after December 31, 2017, unless a county recorder receives re-authorization from its County Board of Supervisors.

Board Position:

_____ S _____ NA _____ NP
 _____ SA _____ O _____ NAR
 _____ N _____ OUA X PENDING

Legislative Director

Date

Brian Putler

8/22/07

- Notwithstanding the general re-authorization requirement in the preceding paragraph, allow a county recorder that utilizes outside sources of funding to create or secure a truncation program to continue to charge the fee for a period not to exceed the term of repayment of the outside source of funding.

The August 1, 2007, amendments did not resolve the "Implementation Consideration" identified in the department's analysis of the bill as amended July 1, 2007, and is repeated here for convenience. The "This Bill" discussion has been revised and the remainder of the department's analysis of the bill as amended July 17, 2007, still applies.

POSITION

Pending.

ANALYSES

THIS BILL

This bill would make changes to the way state, county, and all local levels of government make documents that may contain an SSN available to the public.

On the state level, the bill would prohibit state agencies from filing or recording documents with a local agency that contains more than the last four digits of an SSN. The bill would require FTB to truncate SSNs on any record created by FTB that is disclosable under the Public Records Act and expressly including lien abstracts. SSNs would be required to be truncated by redacting the first five digits of the SSN so that no more than the last four digits of any SSN are displayed.

The bill would allow the Secretary of State (SOS) to refuse to accept for filing any document that contains any part of an SSN. SOS would also be required to truncate the SSN on any existing filed document before making it available to the public.

On the local level, local agencies or filing offices would be required to redact the first five digits of an SSN on a document before making it available to the public.

And, on a county level, except for death records, this bill would establish the Social Security Number Truncation Program within the offices of the county recorder of each county. The program would require the county recorder to create a "public record" version of each "official record" maintained in the county recorder files.

"Official record" would mean the permanent archival record of all instruments, papers, and notices as accepted for recording by a county recorder. "Public record" would mean a record that is in an electronic format and is an exact copy of an official record, except that any SSN contained in that record is truncated.

Creation of public records would be accomplished by recreating the official record in an electronic format and truncating any SSN on the record. This bill would require the county recorder to make only the public record available upon request. The official record would be made available only upon court order or subpoena.

The bill would provide that until the public record version of an official record is created (SSNs are truncated), a request for access to an official record would be permitted. For records recorded from January 1, 1962, through December 31, 2008, the bill would require the recorder to first truncate records that already exist in an electronic format and then create the electronic version of all other records. Each group of records is to be handled in descending chronological order.

For each official record recorded on or after January 1, 2009, the recorder would be required to create a copy of that record in an electronic format and truncate any SSN contained in that record. The recorder would be deemed to be in compliance if due diligence is used to create and truncate SSNs in official records while applying industry best practices.

This bill would provide that any person may request that a recorder truncate a SSN contained in any public record. The recorder would be required to truncate that number within ten business days of receiving the request that includes the exact location of the SSN within a specifically identified public record.

The bill would authorize each county recorder, as approved by that county's board of supervisors, to charge an additional dollar for each page recorded and would limit the use of the funds for purposes of implementing the truncation program. The bill contains additional provisions specific to the process for the county recorder to be able to assess the additional fee that do not impact FTB and are not discussed in this analysis.

This bill would require, by January 1, 2009, and annually thereafter, the County Recorders Association to report to the Legislature and the Office of Privacy Protection (OPP) on the progress each county recorder has made in complying with this bill's provisions. When OPP has determined that all counties have completed the requirements of the program, the report would no longer be required.

This bill would establish a task force to review the use of SSNs by post secondary institutions in California. These provisions do not impact FTB and are not discussed in this analysis.

IMPLEMENTATION CONSIDERATION

The bill would require FTB to provide a truncated SSN on the lien abstracts issued by the department while prohibiting documents filed with the Secretary of State from containing any part of an SSN. The author's office has indicated this inconsistency was not intended and would be corrected with future amendments. In its current form, the bill would require additional departmental system changes to comply with the provisions of the bill.

LEGISLATIVE STAFF CONTACT

Deborah Barrett
Franchise Tax Board
(916) 845-4301
Deborah.Barrett@ftb.ca.gov

Brian Putler
Franchise Tax Board
(916) 845-6333
Brian.Putler@ftb.ca.gov